

A Note on the Instability of Lorentzian Taub-NUT-Space

Gustav Holzegel*

University of Cambridge, DAMTP, Wilberforce Road,
Cambridge CB3 0WA, United Kingdom

February 13, 2006

Abstract

I show that there are no $SU(2)$ -invariant (time-dependent) tensorial perturbations of Lorentzian Taub-NUT space. It follows that the spacetime is unstable at the linear level against generic perturbations. I speculate that this fact is responsible for so far unsuccessful attempts to define a sensible thermodynamics for NUT-charged spacetimes.

1 Introduction

There has been some interest recently in spacetimes admitting NUT-charge and the thermodynamics associated to them, see e.g. [8, 9, 10] and references therein. Inspired by the AdS-CFT-conjecture the entropy for many such spacetimes has been computed by counter-term methods but a satisfactory first law of thermodynamics has not yet been stated. Given the confusion about the thermodynamics of NUT-spacetimes, and in fact about NUT-charge in general, it is perhaps illuminating to perform a Lorentzian linear stability analysis for these spacetimes. In this paper the simplest case, Lorentzian-Taub-NUT without a cosmological constant, is addressed, finding instability of the spacetime at the linear level.

I revisited a paper [5], which provides the methods to analyse linear stability of spacetimes admitting an $SU(2)$ invariance by decomposition of the perturbation into tensor-harmonics using Wigner-functions. In [5] the signature used was $(+, +, +, +)$ and the stability of gravitational instantons was analyzed. These results have recently been generalized to non-vanishing cosmological constant by Warnick [6]. However, the same techniques can be applied if the signature is Lorentzian. Looking only at the lowest tensor-harmonic, this leads to the result proven in this paper, namely that there exist no $SU(2)$ -invariant tensor-perturbations of Taub-NUT space. This means that possible perturbations behave either badly at infinity or at the horizon in a sense that will be made precise below. It is important to note that the perturbations under consideration are *time-dependent*, with a periodicity dictated by the $SU(2)$ symmetry of the metric. Having found this kind of instability in the lowest mode, it follows that the spacetime is unstable at the linear level against generic perturbations.

It is interesting to relate the result to the full non-linear problem in the $SU(2)$ -symmetry class, which corresponds to finding solutions to the Einstein equations

*G.Holzegel@damtp.cam.ac.uk

for the following metric:

$$ds^2 = f(r)dr^2 + A(r)\sigma_1^2 + B(r)\sigma_2^2 - C(r)\sigma_3^2. \quad (1)$$

with the $SU(2)$ left-invariant one-forms σ_i defined in equation (15) and for positive functions f, A, B and C . The metric (1) is related to the famous, thoroughly studied, Bianchi-IX cosmologies for which the $SU(2)$ acts on *spatial* hypersurfaces. The metric (1) has also been studied intensively with Euclidean signature in the search for gravitational instantons. In both cases the Einstein-equations can be solved exactly only under restrictive conditions like $A(r) = B(r)$. In the case (1) considered here there will be important sign changes compared to either Bianchi-IX or Euclidean signature. Hence an interesting problem, worth to be studied in its own right, is to resolve whether the assumption of $SU(2)$ invariance in the form of the metric ansatz (1) already forces the spacetime to be stationary, as might be suggested by the result of this paper. This would correspond to a Birkhoff-type theorem. Note that the standard Birkhoff's theorem is a local statement about any piece of spacetime, with the symmetry group $SO(3)$ acting on a two-sphere. Here, on the other hand, the $SU(2)$ acts on a three-sphere and its action implies in particular the existence of closed timelike curves. Therefore it is the global nature of the spacetime, which might make a “no-dynamics” result possible.

For Lorentzian Taub-NUT there is in fact a rigorous theorem for the non-linear problem. The authors of [4] (see also [12]) prove that any spacetime admitting a compactly generated Cauchy horizon with closed generators, necessarily has a Killing-symmetry, which changes from being spacelike to timelike when crossing the Cauchy-horizon. In other words, situations as they occur in Taub-NUT are essentially an artefact of symmetry. In particular, there is no dynamics of the Cauchy horizons (i.e. $dA = 0$), and hence no standard first law of thermodynamics to which physical meaning could be associated. The arguments of [4] require the full non-linear Einstein equations. While they make no explicit connection with stability, they certainly suggest that the Taub-NUT metric is dynamically uninteresting. The result of the present paper demonstrates that the pathological behaviour of Lorentzian Taub-NUT-space already shows up at the linear level by considering the stability of the metric under perturbations.

Let me finally comment on the issue of thermodynamics of NUT-charged spacetimes. In most recent attempts [9] some first law was imposed a priori from Euclidean quantum gravity arguments. However, this assumption enforced an additional, physically uninterpreted, relationship between the NUT-charge and the horizon-radius, leaving the physical significance of the first law somewhat dubious. On the other hand, one could stick to the view that a truly satisfying first-law has to determine the intrinsic and extrinsic variables, and state explicitly which physical quantities can be varied in what situation. An attempt in that direction is outlined in the appendix. There it turns out that a NUT-potential can be derived and that in general a Smarr-formula holds for Kerr-Taub-NUT spacetime. However, a first-law can not be deduced from the Smarr-formula in the usual fashion. It is perhaps instructive to see how this attempt fails: Similarly to [9] there seems to be a missing degree of freedom. I speculate that all these difficulties are rooted in the Lorentzian instability of the spacetime.

2 The geometry of Taub-NUT spacetime

The four-dimensional Taub-NUT spacetime is given by the metric

$$g^{TN} = -A(r)(dt + 2n \cos \theta d\phi)^2 + \frac{dr^2}{A(r)} + B(r)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (2)$$

where

$$A(r) = \frac{r^2 - 2mr - n^2}{r^2 + n^2}, \quad (3)$$

$$B(r) = r^2 + n^2. \quad (4)$$

The metric has singularities where $A(r) = 0$ and where $\theta = 0, \pi$. The former correspond to horizons at $r_{\pm} = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 + n^2}$. The singularities at $\theta = 0, \pi$ are not the usual coordinate degeneracies of the two sphere. In fact if we allow $-\infty < t < \infty$ the metric (2) will have a line-singularity along the z -axis, whose existence needs to be interpreted physically in one or the other way (see [1] and [2] for two different suggestions). On the other hand, if the coordinate t is assumed to be periodic with period $8\pi n$, the singularities in θ can be interpreted as the usual Euler-*coordinate* singularities of the three-sphere, as was first observed by Misner [3].

In this case spacetime is covered by two coordinate patches. The first patch covers a region around the north pole ($\theta = \pi$) namely the region $\theta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$. This is achieved by the coordinate transformation $t \mapsto t^{(+)} = t + 2n\phi$ which results in

$$ds_{(+)}^2 = -A(r) \left[dt^{(+)} - 2n(1 - \cos \theta) d\phi \right]^2 + \frac{dr^2}{A(r)} + B(r)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (5)$$

a metric regular around the north pole. For the second patch around the south pole, $\theta = 0$, we have to use the coordinate transformation $t \mapsto t^{(-)} = t - 2n\phi$, which leaves us with

$$ds_{(-)}^2 = -A(r) \left[dt^{(-)} - 2n(1 + \cos \theta) d\phi \right]^2 + \frac{dr^2}{A(r)} + B(r)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (6)$$

a metric regular in the region $\theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. In the overlap, which is the equator, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have

$$t^{(+)} = t^{(-)} + 4n\phi, \quad (7)$$

and therefore consistency requires the coordinates $t^{(+)}$ and $t^{(-)}$ to be periodic with a period of $8\pi n$.

In this paper we will follow [3] and assume periodic t -coordinate to avoid the line-singularity. Our spacetime then has topology $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$, it is free of singularities but there are closed timelike curves for $A(r) > 0$.

3 Stability

Let us assume a perturbation of the Taub-NUT metric (2):

$$g_{ab} = g_{ab}^{TN} + h_{ab}. \quad (8)$$

Fixing the gauge we require the perturbation h_{ab} to be transverse with respect to the Taub-NUT derivative operator

$$\nabla^a h_{ab} = 0, \quad (9)$$

and traceless

$$g_{ab}^{TN} h^{ab} = 0. \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, it should – at least to first order – satisfy the vacuum Einstein-equations

$$R_{ab}(g) = 0. \quad (11)$$

This implies the following equation for the perturbation h_{ab} :

$$\triangle_L h_{ab} = -\nabla^e \nabla_e h_{ab} - 2R_{acbd} h^{cd} = 0, \quad (12)$$

where we have defined the the Lichnerowicz-operator $\triangle_L$. All derivative operators are taken with respect to the background Taub-NUT metric. As a last requirement, the perturbation should be finite in the sense that the invariant expression

$$\text{tr}(g) = g_{ab} g^{ab} = (g_{ab}^{TN} + h_{ab}) (g^{TN\ ab} + h^{ab}) = 4 + h_{ab} h^{ab} \quad (13)$$

is bounded everywhere between the horizon and infinity. That is

$$h_{ab} h^{ab} < \infty \quad \text{everywhere between the horizon and infinity.} \quad (14)$$

Of course, stronger notions of finiteness and smallness could be introduced but the condition (14) will suffice for our purposes because possible perturbations will already violate this elementary condition.

Summarizing, we want perturbations h_{ab} satisfying (9), (10), and (12) and being finite in the sense of (14). In general such solutions are hard to find. The key is to exploit the $SU(2)$ -invariance of the background by expanding the perturbations in terms of Wigner-functions (“modes”). If we then restrict ourselves to $SU(2)$ -invariant perturbations (that is, zero modes, $J = K = M = 0$), we can prove the following result

There are no finite, transverse, traceless $SU(2)$ -invariant perturbations of the Lorentzian Taub-NUT metric.

This result indicates that the Taub-NUT-metric is unstable. As mentioned in the introduction this result regarding linear stability is related to the non-linear “no-dynamics”-result proved in [4].

We will make further comments about the type of the perturbation after presenting its precise form in the next section.

4 Decomposition of perturbations

The Taub-NUT metric has an $SU(2)$ invariance, which can be made more manifest by introducing the left invariant one-forms of $SU(2)$ (coordinatized by Euler-coordinates θ, ϕ, t)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= -\sin t d\theta + \sin \theta \cos t d\phi, \\ \sigma_2 &= \cos t d\theta + \sin \theta \sin t d\phi, \\ \sigma_3 &= dt + \cos \theta d\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and writing the metric (2) as

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{A(r)} dr^2 - 4n^2 A(r) \sigma_3^2 + B(r) (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2). \quad (16)$$

For future reference we also define

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{00} &= \gamma_{rr} = \frac{1}{A(r)}, \\ \gamma_{11} &= \gamma_{22} = B(r), \\ \gamma_{33} &= -4n^2 A(r).\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

As mentioned in the last section, the key is an expansion of the perturbations in terms of $SU(2)$ -Wigner-functions $\mathcal{D}_{KM}^J$, which are analogues of the spherical harmonics on the two-sphere. The general perturbation can be decomposed as

$$h = \sum_{J,M} \sum_{K=-J}^{K=J} h_{ab}^{J,M,K}(r) \mathcal{D}_{KM}^J(t, \theta, \phi) \sigma^a \otimes \sigma^b.\tag{18}$$

The tedious work of rephrasing the equations (9), (10), and (12) in terms of modes is done in [5], where the *Euclidean* Taub-NUT metric is studied. In particular, the zero-mode equations for $h_{ab}^{0,0,0}$ together with their transverse-traceless gauge conditions are derived. Taking care of the signs all these equations can be restated for the Lorentzian case. In both cases second order ordinary differential equations can be decoupled for the components $h_{00}, h_{33}, h_{03}, X = h_{11} + h_{22}, Y = h_{11} - h_{22}, h_{12}, h_{13}, h_{02}, h_{01}, h_{23}$ of the perturbation h_{ab} . Here and in the following we suppress the $(0, 0, 0)$ -mode-indices. The strategy followed in this paper will be to analyze the equation for each component carefully and to prove that the solutions they admit will behave badly at the horizon or at infinity. To be more specific we will show that any solution would violate the boundedness condition (14).

Note that the zero mode under consideration will in general be time-dependent. This is because the the zero mode of (18) is of the form

$$h = h_{ab}^{0,0,0}(r) \sigma^a \otimes \sigma^b,\tag{19}$$

using that $\mathcal{D}_{00}^0 = \text{const.}$ Since the left invariant one-forms (15) explicitly depend on time in a periodic fashion, the modes under consideration are periodic in time. It is this fact that renders the result non-trivial.

It is also worth pointing out that our non-existence result is different in style from the usual linear perturbation-theory arguments for spacetimes without closed time-like curves but an ordinary timelike Killing vector (see e.g. [11]). There it is usually shown that regular perturbations *can* be defined on a spacelike hypersurface but that they will blow up in time. Here we show that in fact no $SU(2)$ -invariant perturbation can be defined initially that behaves well at the horizon and at infinity.

5 Differential equations

In the following, we will constantly refer to equations derived in [5]. The differential equations for the metric perturbation components h_{ab} stated in this section can be derived from the Wigner-decomposition and are found explicitly (with Euclidean signature) in the appendix of that paper.

5.1 h_{21}

The h_{21} equation is ((B1) of [5])

$$a(r)h_{21}''(r) + b(r)h_{21}'(r) + c(r)h_{21}(r) = 0,\tag{20}$$

where,

$$a(r) = A(r), \quad (21)$$

$$b(r) = A' - \frac{AB'}{B}, \quad (22)$$

$$c(r) = \frac{1}{n^2 A} - \frac{A'B'}{B} + A \left(\frac{B'}{B} \right)^2 - A \left(\frac{B''}{B} \right) + \frac{2}{B}. \quad (23)$$

Near infinity the equation reads

$$h_{21}'' + \left(-\frac{2}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \right) h_{21}' + \left(\frac{1}{n^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \right) h_{21} = 0, \quad (24)$$

signaling an irregular singularity near infinity. Using techniques from ordinary differential equations we can compute an asymptotic expansion near infinity. The two linearly independent asymptotic solutions are

$$h_{21} = -\sqrt{\frac{2n}{\pi}} \left(r \cos\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) - n \sin\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \right), \quad (25)$$

$$h_{21} = -\sqrt{\frac{2n}{\pi}} \left(n \cos\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) + r \sin\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \right). \quad (26)$$

None of these solutions decays at infinity, which is a necessary requirement for the perturbation. Hence $h_{21} = 0$. Note that it is the Lorentzian signature which is responsible for the oscillatory behaviour. For the Euclidean version one would have to replace $\frac{1}{n^2}$ by $-\frac{1}{n^2}$ and exponentially decaying solutions will exist. (Whether they are well behaved at the horizon remains to be checked.) This behaviour, that is the asymptotics of the perturbation being governed by the signature of the background, will appear in almost all of the following differential equations we are about to consider.

5.2 h_{20} and h_{10}

The components h_{20} and h_{10} satisfy the same differential equation. We spell out the formulae for h_{20} here but all conclusions are of course valid for h_{10} as well.

(Inserting equations (B6) into (B4) of [5], respectively (B9) into (B7) yields)

$$a(r)h_{20}''(r) + \left(b(r) - \frac{Ad(r)}{\frac{1}{2B} + \frac{1}{8n^2A}} \right) h_{20}'(r) + \left(c(r) - d(r) \frac{A' + \frac{AB'}{B}}{\frac{1}{2B} + \frac{1}{8n^2A}} \right) h_{20}(r) = 0, \quad (27)$$

with,

$$a(r) = -A, \quad (28)$$

$$b(r) = -2A', \quad (29)$$

$$c(r) = \frac{3}{2}A \left(\frac{B'}{B} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4n^2A} - \frac{2n^2A}{B^2} - \frac{1}{2}A \left(\frac{B''}{B} \right) - \frac{1}{B} - \frac{A''}{2}, \quad (30)$$

$$d(r) = \frac{B'}{B^2} - \frac{A'}{2AB} + \frac{A'}{8n^2A^2}. \quad (31)$$

Near infinity the differential equation looks like

$$h_{20}''(r) + \left(\frac{6m}{r^2} + \frac{8m^2 + 28n^2}{r^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^4}\right) \right) h_{20}'(r) + \left(\frac{1}{4n^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \right) h_{20}(r) = 0, \quad (32)$$

and we can construct the asymptotic solutions by applying theorems about irregular singular points at infinity. The two solutions are

$$h_{20}(r) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2n}r\right) \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\right], \quad (33)$$

and its complex conjugate. Note that the series in the square-brackets may have complex coefficients. We can easily construct two real solutions and it is immediate that they will be oscillatory near infinity. Hence $h_{20} = h_{10} = 0$.

5.3 $Y = h_{11} - h_{22}$

An equation for the difference $Y = h_{11} - h_{22}$ can be decoupled from equations (B10) and (B11) of [5]. It reads

$$a(r)Y''(r) + b(r)Y'(r) + (d(r) - e(r))Y(r) = 0, \quad (34)$$

where

$$a(r) = A(r), \quad (35)$$

$$b(r) = A' - A\frac{B'}{B}, \quad (36)$$

$$d(r) = \frac{2}{B} - \frac{AB''}{B} - \frac{A'B'}{B} + \frac{1}{2}A\left(\frac{B'}{B}\right)^2 + \frac{4n^2A}{B^2} + \frac{1}{n^2A}, \quad (37)$$

$$e(r) = -\frac{1}{2n^2A} + \frac{4n^2A}{B^2} - \frac{1}{2}A\left(\frac{B'}{B}\right)^2. \quad (38)$$

Near infinity the differential equation reads

$$Y''(r) + \left(-\frac{2}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\right)Y'(r) + \left(\frac{3}{2n^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\right)Y(r) = 0. \quad (39)$$

The asymptotic structure is very similar to that of the h_{21} equation considered above. In fact the arguments used in that subsection can be brought forward to show that solutions of this equations have to oscillate (and blow up) at infinity. This shows that $Y = 0$ and therefore $h_{11} = h_{22}$.

5.4 h_{30}

The solution for h_{30} can be obtained explicitly from the constraint equation ((B2) of [5])

$$h'_{30} = -\left(\frac{A'}{A} + \frac{B'}{B}\right)h_{30}. \quad (40)$$

It is

$$h_{30}(r) = \frac{1}{A(r)B(r)} = \frac{1}{r^2 - 2mr - n^2}. \quad (41)$$

5.5 h_{00}

The h_{00} equation that can be decoupled from (B14), (B15), (B12) of [5] is the most complicated one. It reads

$$a(r)h''_{00} + b(r)h'_{00} + c(r)h_{00} = 0, \quad (42)$$

with the coefficients given by

$$\begin{aligned}
a(r) &= A, \\
b(r) &= 3A' + \frac{AB'}{B} + \frac{B(A'^2 - 2AA'')}{BA' - AB'} - \frac{A}{B} \left(\frac{2AB'^2 - BA'B' - 2ABB''}{BA' - AB'} \right), \\
c(r) &= \frac{A'^2}{2A} + \frac{A'B'}{B} - \frac{AB'^2}{B^2} + A'' + \frac{3}{2A} \left(\frac{BA' + AB'}{BA' - AB'} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{BA'B' + 2BAB'' - 2AB'^2}{B^2} \left(\frac{2BA' + AB'}{BA' - AB'} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the functions A and B the equation becomes

$$h''_{00}(r) + p(r)h'_{00}(r) + q(r)h_{00}(r) = 0, \quad (43)$$

where now

$$p(r) = \frac{8(m-r)}{n^2 + (2m-r)r} + \frac{2r}{n^2 + r^2} + \frac{6(n^2 + (2m-r)r)}{-3n^2r + r^3 + m(n^2 - 3r^2)}, \quad (44)$$

$$q(r) = 2 \left(\frac{4(m^2 + n^2)}{(n^2 + (2m-r)r)^2} + \frac{2n^2}{(n^2 + r^2)^2} + \frac{1}{n^2 + r^2} + \right. \quad (45)$$

$$\left. \frac{8}{-n^2 - 2mr + r^2} + \frac{9(m-r)}{-3n^2r + r^3 + m(n^2 - 3r^2)} \right). \quad (46)$$

There are (regular) singular points at the horizon, $r_{hoz} = m + \sqrt{m^2 + n^2}$, and at infinity. There is also a regular singular point at

$$r = r_{int} = m + 2 \cos \left(\frac{\arctan \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)}{3} \right) \sqrt{m^2 + n^2}. \quad (47)$$

However, a Frobenius expansion around r_{int} shows that the solution has always finite value of the first and second derivative at that point.

The regular singular point at infinity can also be analyzed by a Frobenius series. Near infinity

$$p(r) = \frac{4}{r} + 2m \frac{1}{r^2} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right), \quad (48)$$

$$q(r) = -\frac{4m}{r^3} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{r^4} \right), \quad (49)$$

therefore the solution is asymptotically either $h_{00} \propto \frac{1}{r^3}$ or proportional to a constant ($\neq 0$) near infinity. Of course, only the first case will lead to a sensible perturbation. In fact, the solution h_{00} that behaves like $\frac{1}{r^3}$ near infinity can be constructed explicitly and is unique by the theory of ODEs. It reads

$$h_{00} = \frac{2r^3 - m(n^2 + 3r^2)}{(r^2 + n^2)(r^2 - 2mr - n^2)^2}. \quad (50)$$

5.6 The other components

So far we found that for any finite, transverse, traceless perturbation, $h_{21} = h_{20} = h_{10} = 0$ must hold. Using the equations given in [5] one concludes that

- $h_{20} = 0$ implies $h_{31} = 0$ (by (B6) of [5])

- $h_{10} = 0$ implies $h_{32} = 0$ (by (B9) of [5])

Thus there are only five possibly non-zero components left, of which we already know h_{00} and h_{30} explicitly. The remaining components could be calculated explicitly from what we already know but in fact there is no need to do this. Writing out the boundedness condition (14) yields

$$h_{ab}h^{ab} = h_{00}h^{00} + 2h_{30}h^{30} + h_{11}h^{11} + h_{22}h^{22} + h_{33}h^{33} \quad (51)$$

Taking into account that the metric used to raise and lower indices is the γ given in (17) we observe that only the second term of the right hand side of (51) will be negative, whereas all the others are positive. Now it can easily be shown, using the explicit solutions (50) and (41), that the sum $\lambda^2 h_{00}h^{00} + \mu^2 h_{30}h^{30}$ always admits a *positive* divergent $\frac{1}{r-r_{hoz}}$ term at the horizon $r_{hoz} = m + \sqrt{m^2 + n^2}$ unless $\lambda = \mu = 0$. (Remark: The sum may or may not have a divergent $\frac{1}{(r-r_{hoz})^2}$ term of whatever sign. The parameters λ and μ could be chosen to cancel it.) Hence the perturbation h_{ab} is not finite unless we set $h_{00} = h_{30} = 0$. From $h_{00} = 0$ and equations (B12-B15) of [5] it follows that $h_{33} = 0$ and $X = h_{11} + h_{22} = 0$ which together with $Y = h_{11} - h_{22} = 0$ implies $h_{11} = h_{22} = 0$. This finally shows that $h_{ab} = 0$ for all a, b . There are no $SU(2)$ invariant, finite perturbations of Taub-NUT space.

6 Discussion

The fact that there are no $SU(2)$ -invariant perturbations of Lorentzian Taub-NUT space is a clear indication that the space is unstable. In proving the result one clearly noted how the Lorentzian signature of the spacetime enforced possible perturbations to oscillate near infinity. A straightforward generalisation would be to consider the cases with non-vanishing cosmological constant and electric charge, where I expect the same result to hold. Higher dimensional generalizations also seem possible with the advanced technical effort of finding the analogues of the Wigner-functions.

The result is in accord with the result of [4], in that it shows pathological behaviour already appears at the level of a linear stability analysis.

It is unlikely that a physically sensible thermodynamics can be associated to Lorentzian Taub-NUT spaces and the possible attempts need to be rethought. In fact the so far unsuccessful efforts to establish a truly satisfying first law are very likely to be related to the instability of the space. Moreover, the linear analysis might help to gain a better understanding of why standard strategies fail.

7 Acknowledgements

I want to thank Gary Gibbons for suggesting the problem and useful advice, and Claude Warnick for helpful discussions and sharing his notes. I am also indebted to the following bodies for financial support: EPSRC, Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes and the Allen, Meek and Read Scholarship.

A NUT-Thermodynamics

In this appendix I want to show how a Smarr-formula can be derived for Taub-NUT space via dimensional reduction along the $U(1)$ fibres of the metric and comment on unsuccessful attempts to deduce a first law from it.

A.1 Komar-Integrals

Let us compute the conserved quantity associated with the Killing vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. The corresponding Killing one-form is

$$k = \frac{\Delta(r)}{r^2 + n^2} (dt + 2n \cos \theta d\phi). \quad (52)$$

Thus up to a sign depending on one's convention for the Hodge operator $\star$:

$$\star dk = \left(\frac{\Delta(r)}{r^2 + n^2} \right)' (r^2 + n^2) \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi + \frac{2n}{r^2 + n^2} dr \wedge (dt + 2n \frac{\Delta(r)}{r^2 + n^2} \cos \theta d\phi). \quad (53)$$

Care is needed when integrating over θ and ϕ at constant r . One can formally perform the integration

$$\int d\star k = \int \left(\frac{\Delta(r)}{r^2 + n^2} \right)' (r^2 + n^2) \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi = m \frac{r^2 - n^2}{r^2 + n^2} + \frac{2n^2 r}{r^2 + n^2}, \quad (54)$$

finding that for $n \neq 0$ the integral depends on r . Strictly speaking, one has to take the Misner-strings on the z-axis (respectively the two different charts) into account when performing the integration. Thus one should omit from the integral a small region, radius ϵ around the intersection of the two concentric spheres with the Misner strings. To get a closed 2-cycle, homologically trivial, one should add two small tubes surrounding the Misner strings. The integral over the tubes would make a non-vanishing contribution in the limit $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. This limit can be attributed to the Misner strings. But this means that the difference of the above integral evaluated at infinity and at the horizon must be the tube-contribution of the Misner strings. At infinity

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int \star dk \rightarrow m, \quad (55)$$

whereas at the Cauchy horizon, $r = r_+ = m + \sqrt{m^2 + n^2}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{r_+} \star dk = \sqrt{m^2 + n^2}. \quad (56)$$

The contribution due to the Misner strings is given by the difference

$$\int_{\text{Misner Strings}} \star dk = m - \sqrt{m^2 + n^2}. \quad (57)$$

It is negative for $n > 0$. The result can be interpreted in that the spacetime contains negative energy between the horizon at r_+ and infinity. Note that the result is reminiscent of the result of [2]. These authors associate the negative mass (57) to the (in their case intrinsic) line-singularities on the z-axis, whereas we do not have intrinsic singularities but obviously a negative mass content in our spacetime. To make contact with a Smarr-type formula we write the result (57) in a different way. A calculation of the surface gravity of the horizon at $r = r_+$ yields

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \frac{r_+ - r_-}{r_+^2 + n^2} = \frac{\sqrt{m^2 + n^2}}{r_+^2 + n^2}. \quad (58)$$

The area A of the horizon is

$$A = 4\pi(r_+^2 + n^2). \quad (59)$$

Now obviously

$$m = \frac{\kappa A}{4\pi} + \int_{\text{Misner Strings}} \star dk. \quad (60)$$

We can extract more insight in the term which is due to the Misner strings by using a dimensional reduction.

A.2 Dimensional Reduction

The idea of the method of dimensional reduction is to project the Einstein equations down to the space Σ of orbits of the Killing field ∂_t . Note that Σ should not be thought of as a submanifold of the spacetime manifold M but – locally at least – as the base space of an $\mathbb{R}$ -bundle over Σ . If one focusses on the timelike orbits, the space of orbits will have a boundary component $\partial\Sigma_+$ on which the orbits become null, that is the boundary consists of the space of null generators of the Cauchy horizon at $r = r_+$. Of course, Σ will also have a boundary at infinity ($r \rightarrow \infty$), which we denote $\partial\Sigma_\infty$.

Outside the horizon, the four-dimensional metric may be written as

$$ds^2 = -e^{2U}(dt + \omega)^2 + e^{-2U}\gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad (61)$$

with

$$\omega = 2n \cos \theta d\phi, \quad (62)$$

$$U(r) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\Delta(r)}{r^2 + n^2}, \quad (63)$$

$$\gamma_{ij} = \text{diag} (1, e^{2U}(r^2 + n^2), e^{2U}(r^2 + n^2) \sin^2 \theta). \quad (64)$$

Let $\Omega = d\omega$. Then the vacuum Einstein equations imply that

$$d \star (e^{4U} \Omega) = 0, \quad (65)$$

where the Hodge operator $\star$ is taken with respect to the metric γ_{ij} . Thus one may introduce a NUT-potential ψ such that

$$\Omega = e^{-4U} \star d\psi. \quad (66)$$

whence

$$\nabla_i (\gamma^{ij} e^{-4U} \nabla_j \psi) = 0. \quad (67)$$

The Einstein equations may now be obtained from the three-dimensional Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{\gamma} \left(R + 2\gamma^{ij} \partial_i U \partial_j U + \frac{1}{2} e^{-4U} \gamma^{ij} \partial_i \psi \partial_j \psi \right). \quad (68)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar of the metric γ_{ij} . The equation of motion for U ,

$$\nabla^2 U + \frac{1}{2} e^{-4U} (\partial\psi)^2 = 0, \quad (69)$$

can be solved for the potential ψ with the result

$$\psi = -2n \frac{m - r}{(r^2 + n^2)} = \frac{2n}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right). \quad (70)$$

Now from (67) one may obtain the identity

$$-8\pi n = \int_{\partial\Sigma_\infty} e^{-4U} \partial_i \psi d\sigma^i = \int_{\partial\Sigma_+} e^{-4U} \partial_i \psi d\sigma^i. \quad (71)$$

From (69) one may obtain the identity

$$4\pi m = \int_{\partial\Sigma_\infty} \partial_i U d\sigma^i = -\frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma e^{-4U} (\partial\psi)^2 + \int_{\partial\Sigma_+} \partial_i U d\sigma^i. \quad (72)$$

But

$$\int_{\partial\Sigma_+} \partial_i U d\sigma^i = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta'(r_+)}{\Delta(r_+)} \Delta(r_+) (r^2 + n^2) \cdot 4\pi = \kappa A. \quad (73)$$

Moreover, multiplication of (67) by ψ and integration by parts yields

$$-\int_{\Sigma} e^{-4U} (\partial\psi)^2 = \int_{\partial\Sigma_+} \psi e^{-4U} \partial_i \psi d\sigma^i - \int_{\partial\Sigma_\infty} \psi e^{-4U} \partial_i \psi d\sigma^i. \quad (74)$$

Note that the boundary term at infinity vanishes. Putting this all together gives

$$4\pi m = \kappa A - 4\pi n \psi_H, \quad (75)$$

and hence

$$m = \frac{\kappa A}{4\pi} - \psi_H n, \quad (76)$$

where ψ_H is the value of the NUT-potential on the horizon. Comparing (76) with (60) we have found an interpretation for the Misner-term as a NUT-potential, which is constant on the horizon, multiplied by the NUT-charge. In fact it is straightforward to generalize (76) to the Kerr-Taub-NUT case.

A.3 Failure of a First Law

From (76) one would expect the first law

$$dm = \frac{\kappa dA}{8\pi} - \psi_H dn \quad (77)$$

to hold. However, (77) does not hold unless a special relation between m and n is assumed, which the reader might wish to work out. This condition is – at least for the general Kerr-NUT-case – different from the regularity condition that follows from the usual periodicity-condition that is imposed on the Euclideanized metric. Moreover it can be shown for the Kerr-case [7] that there exists no modification of the area that makes a first law with NUT-potential hold.

References

- [1] W.B. Bonnor, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **66** 145
- [2] V. S. Manko and E. Ruiz, “Physical interpretation of NUT solution”, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) 3555 [arXiv:gr-qc/0505001]
- [3] C.W. Misner, “The Flatter Regions of Newman, Unti, and Tamburino’s Generalized Schwarzschild space”, *J. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 4, 7, 1963
- [4] V. Moncrief and J. Isenberg, “Symmetries of Cosmological Cauchy Horizons”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **89**, 387-413 (1983)
- [5] R. E. Young, “Semiclassical stability of asymptotically locally flat spaces”, *Phys. Rev. D*, **28**, 10 (1983)
- [6] C. M. Warnick, “Semi-Classical Stability of AdS NUT Instantons”, *hep-th/0602127*
- [7] G. H. Holzegel, “Thermodynamics of NUT-space”, unpublished notes
- [8] R. B. Mann and C. Stelea, “Thermodynamics of Newman-Unti-Tamburino charged spaces”, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 084032 (2005)

- [9] Dumitru Astefaneseia, Robert B. Mann and Eugen Radu, “Breakdown of the entropy/area relationship for NUT-charged spacetimes”, *Phys.Lett.B*620:1-8,2005
- [10] R. B. Mann and C. Stelea, “Nuttier (A)dS black holes in higher dimensions”, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 21, 2937-2961 (2004)
- [11] G. W. Gibbons and S. A. Hartnoll, “A gravitational instability in higher dimensions”, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 064024 [arXiv:hep-th/0206202]
- [12] G. W. Gibbons and J. Stewart, “Absence of Asymptotically flat solutions of Einstein’s equations which are periodic and empty near infinity” in “Classical General Relativity, Proc. of the Conference on Classical (non-quantum) GR”, London 1983, edited by Bonnor, Isham, MacCallum, CUP 1984